

SENATE MINUTES
UM-ST. LOUIS
February 9, 1993
3:00 p.m. 222 J. C. Penney

The meeting was called to order at 3:02 p.m. Minutes from the previous meeting (held January 19, 1993) were approved as submitted.

Report from the Chairperson -- Joseph Martinich

(see report attached)

Regarding the replacement of the Big 8/Big 10 institutions with AAU institutions as our peer group, the Chair said he is planning to verify that only public AAU institutions will be used in comparisons.

Report from the Intercampus Faculty Council -- Joseph Martinich

(see report attached)

Senator Roth reported that he will be chairing the IFC's Subcommittee on Faculty Development and Infrastructure. He invited senators to contact him with ideas for infrastructure improvements.

Senator Korr commented on problems relating to campus data forwarded to the System which grossly underestimate our success in generating grants. Dean Wartzok pointed out, however, that none of the UM campuses report on grants which are not channeled through the institution. The Chair remarked that faculty who choose not to work through the Office of Research should nonetheless share information with that office.

Senator Jordan, pleased at the prospect of having faculty representation on the Board of Curators, suggested that the IFC pursue this. The Chair said that in addition to the IFC response, one or more of the other campuses will be issuing its own endorsement of House Bill 34. Individual campus statements would serve to demonstrate broad-based support for the proposed legislation, as would letters from individual faculty members.

Senator Harris questioned if it would be a conflict of interest for faculty, who are, in effect, employees of the Board, to serve on the Board of Curators. He asked about the practice at other schools. The Chair said he knew of no institutions where faculty members serve on the governing board. He pointed out that House Bill 34 provides for faculty representatives to be non-voting Board members and noted that passage of this legislation would allow the Faculty to participate in Board discussions. Presently, only members of the Board may speak at Board meetings. The Chair suggested that senators think about the question of whether or not the campus should issue an endorsement of House

Bill 34. Further discussion was postponed until later in the meeting.

Report from the Chancellor -- Chancellor Blanche Touhill

The Chancellor reported that the Curators accepted our recommendation to continue the M.A. in Sociology and approved the naming of the Anheuser-Busch Ecology and Conservation Complex, which will be erected near the Research Building. She also reported that recommendations regarding cooperative Extension were pulled from the Board's agenda.

The Computer Center Building will be formally dedicated on March 26. Senators were invited to attend both the ceremony and a reception. More information will be forthcoming.

Dr. Rush McAdam, one of the founders of the campus, has established UM-St. Louis's first pooled income fund in honor of his wife.

The Counseling Service has begun distributing to faculty members a telephone directory insert which is designed to assist faculty in referring students for personal or career counseling.

The Chancellor concluded her report by laying to rest speculation that the campus will attempt to acquire the Deaconess North property. There is no money for such a purchase, she said.

Vice Chancellor MacLean then reported on telephone registration and enrollment. Responding to questions from Senator Ratcliff at the January Senate meeting, the Vice Chancellor announced that 24 telephone lines will be available for student registration, and three of the 24 will be used for academic advising. On the "current information service," students will be able to find out whether a class is open or closed; the number enrolled in the class; and the day, time, and location of the class. Grades will be available by telephone. To obtain them, students will use their student number and PIN number. The system cannot yet accommodate fee payment by credit card number.

Turning to enrollment, the Vice Chancellor reported that February applications are up by 11 percent over last year. Our goal for Winter 1993 was 11,125, and the official headcount enrollment is 11,181.

Senator Ratcliff asked how long students will have to wait for an open telephone line. Ms. LaMarca said that would depend on the time of the year when the student calls. She noted that telephone registration will begin with a pilot group. Senator Barton expressed hope that students will contact the department if they learn that sections are closed. In this way, the department can determine if some adjustment is possible to

accommodate the student. Senator Cohen asked when we will have the computer technology to enforce prerequisites. Senator Ganz quoted Mr. Allen as saying that this would be too time-consuming to be part of the registration process. Senator Cohen commented on the need to keep in mind the importance of advising as we move forward with the telephone registration process.

Senator Roth asked about the success of Vice Chancellor MacLean's trip overseas. Ms. LaMarca reported that five applications have arrived and another 15 are expected. The Vice Chancellor remarked that if only one student were to spend two years here, the trip would have paid for itself.

Report from the Faculty Council -- Steven Spaner

(see report attached)

Report from the Student Government Association -- Charles Masters for Michael Tomlinson

(see report attached)

Report from the Committee on Curriculum and Instruction -- Leonard Ott

The Senate resumed its discussion of the proposed new general education requirements. The Chair reminded senators of the amendment to the science/math distribution requirement which was passed at the January meeting. The amendment mandates that two of the three courses be from the natural sciences. One of the science courses must include a significant laboratory component. Chancellor Touhill reminded senators that a budget for general education has yet to be worked out.

Senator Ratcliff asked if catalog copy will be forthcoming and when the requirements will be implemented. The Chancellor said that we will begin identifying the courses which satisfy each requirement as soon as the proposal is approved by the Curators. The implementation date will depend on the Board's directive.

Senator Friedman asked if there is a minimum math requirement to graduate. The Chair replied that students will be required to take Math 30 and one additional course requiring extensive use of mathematical, symbolic, or logical reasoning. Math 30 is not explicitly stated as a requirement in the proposal. The Chair agreed with Senator Friedman's observation that we will likely need to revisit our graduation requirements to cover this.

Senator Friedman then asked for clarification regarding how the proposed new requirements would change our current foreign language requirement. The Chair explained that the student's major will continue to determine whether or not foreign

language is required. If foreign language is not required, the student could choose to fulfill the proposed new requirement by taking all culture courses or by taking a combination of culture and foreign language courses. The Chair noted that a number of our students presently satisfy this proposed new requirement. Senator Ganz asked if 101 is considered a culture course. Senator Zarucchi reported that 101 is being modified so that it will count toward this requirement.

Senator Harris felt that students should learn what they need to know about computers through courses in their own field. Pointing out that the proposal's foreign language requirement is actually less than what we will expect of entering students, he expressed doubt that the proposed new requirements are better than the current requirements. Senator Sargent disagreed, viewing the proposed new requirements as a marked improvement--albeit less than the ideal.

Senator Doyle explained that the Palonsky Committee's recommendations were designed primarily for UMC, which had no general education requirements. Our requirements are, by far, the best in the System, he said. Senator Doyle suggested that senators refrain from focusing too intently on the specific wording of the proposal, which is subject to change over time.

A motion to delete the words "in Missouri" from the state requirement was seconded and approved, after which the amended general education requirements (see copy attached) were approved by the Senate with some dissent.

Report from the Committee on Physical Facilities and General Services -- Gail Ratcliff

Senator Ratcliff provided a written report with the January meeting agenda. Time constraints did not permit her to entertain questions or comments about that report, the Chair explained.

Senator Ratcliff reported that campus concerns regarding airport expansion plans have been shared with the Federal Aviation Administration. The FAA has yet to announce a decision.

Senator Madeo complained that the Tower is not being adequately cleaned. Senator Ratcliff reported that a private cleaning contractor will be cleaning the Tower in the near future. Senator Madeo requested that some level of cleaning be maintained until the private contractor arrives. Senator Peck commented that we need more general attention paid to cleaning problems on the campus as a whole.

Senator Sargent reported that health and safety inspectors visited several offices in his department and found them in such

poor state as to require special cleaning methods. He urged that serious consideration be given to the health hazard posed, noting that some faculty members have fallen ill and others cannot use their offices. He also reported that someone was recently trapped in a new elevator and could not be rescued immediately because the person with the elevator key was out to lunch.

Senator Ratcliff promised to bring these complaints to Reinhard Schuster. She assured senators that Mr. Schuster is responsive. Senator Sargent agreed, saying Mr. Schuster was out of town the previous week, when problems were particularly bad.

Senator Etzkorn remarked that some faculty in his department teach at locations (prisons) where conditions are better than on our campus.

Report from the Committee on Bylaws and Rules -- James Doyle

On behalf of the Committee, Senator Doyle presented three bylaw amendment proposals and a proposal to revise an operating rule.

The first proposal was to create a new standing Senate committee, to be known as the Committee on Research Misconduct. Senator Korr took exception to this title, saying it presupposes the existence of misconduct. He moved to change the title to "Committee on Research Responsibility." Senator Cohen suggested amending it to "Committee on Responsible Research," but senators felt that was not specific enough to the committee's charge. Dr. Korr's motion was then seconded. Senator Sargent spoke against the motion, commenting that misconduct does indeed exist and expressing the view that we should be honest and up-front about it. Senator Korr's motion to change the title was defeated.

Senator Lehmkuhle inquired if it is necessary to create a new committee to review allegations of research misconduct. The Chair said the creation of a new committee is not mandated. Senator Harris remarked that the committee would likely not be very active. Senator Doyle pointed to the requirement that the campus have a standing committee for this purpose and explained that the proposal would provide for a small core of regular members who would be augmented by others with the necessary expertise when hearings are conducted. The Chair commented on the importance of having this flexibility to expand as needed. The proposal was then endorsed by the Senate with some dissent.

A companion proposal necessary to keep the bylaws consistent regarding the voting eligibility of student and ex officio senators was subsequently endorsed without further discussion.

The Senate also endorsed a proposal to add to the charge of the Committee on Admissions and Student Aid responsibility in the areas of recruitment and retention, and to rename the Committee to reflect its new responsibilities.

(The three amendment proposals endorsed by the Senate are appended to this document.)

Senator Doyle then presented a proposal to revise the operating rule which recognized the Engineering Division as a unit parallel to the professional schools and the Director of the Engineering Division as equivalent to a dean. (See attached.) Designed to apply to the undergraduate program to be offered in cooperation with Washington University, the proposed revised rule would provide Engineering with representation on the Senate, the Committee on Committees, and the Committee on Curriculum and Instruction only. Because the program will be headed by a dean, reference to the "Director" of Engineering was eliminated.

The Chair noted that there is a difference between the coming arrangement with Washington University and our current situation with UMR. Engineering faculty are presently represented on each Senate committee which has a distribution requirement. In addition to the Committee on Committees and the Committee on Curriculum and Instruction, this includes Appointments, Tenure, and Promotion; the two subcommittees of the Committee on Research and Publication; and Budget and Planning.

Senator Ratcliff said she has been uncomfortable with UMR people serving on committees. Without a copy of the agreement with Washington University, she said she is reluctant to support this operating rule revision.

The Chair pointed out that if the proposed revision is not adopted, Engineering will be represented on the Senate solely by the dean. Senator Friedman did not see that as a problem. He said he believes the agreement with Washington University is for a five-year period and that it may not be renewed. Engineering faculty, he said, may be adjuncts.

Chancellor Touhill commended Dr. Hahn for his good work with the UMR program and said she has heard only positive reactions from Washington University faculty. She characterized the proposed revised operating rule as both a scaling down and a reaching out, appropriate to the circumstances.

Senator Ratcliff pointed out that we have many non-regular faculty on this campus who are unrepresented on the Senate. The Chair clarified that the Engineering faculty will have full-time regular status.

Senator Ratcliff moved to table the proposal until the following Senate meeting and to have a copy of the agreement with Washington University provided to senators in advance of the meeting. The Chair explained that the election process for Senate membership must begin before the March Senate meeting. If the proposal is not immediately approved, the result will be that Engineering is unrepresented except for the dean. Senator Ratcliff's motion was defeated.

Senator Friedman reasoned that if Engineering faculty are extended membership on the Senate, they should be eligible for service on any Senate committee. He recommended that the proposal be defeated and Engineering forgo representation on the Senate for one year. The Chair clarified that under the proposal, Engineering faculty would be eligible to serve on all Senate committees which have no membership distribution requirement.

The Chancellor confirmed for Senator Ratcliff that Engineering faculty will have joint appointments at both institutions. Senator Burkholder spoke in support of the proposal. After the question was called, the proposal was approved with some dissent.

The Chair reported that the Senate Office still has not received the names or precise number of faculty in the Washington University cooperative program, information which is needed before the Senate election process can begin. The Chancellor promised to provide a count immediately.

Before the Senate proceeded to the next agenda item, Dr. Driemeier reported that he believes Mr. Schuster plans to bring in an outside service to clean classrooms in SSB. The number of cleaning personnel in the Tower will be doubled in an attempt to get the problem under control. Senator Ganz asked when this will occur. Dr. Driemeier said it would be after March 1 but no later than April 1.

Report from the Executive Committee -- Joseph Martinich

The Chair reported that the 1993 Student Election Subcommittee will consist of Mr. Grant Black (chairperson), Ms. Lora Petti, and Dr. J. Martin Rochester. Elections for student members of the 1993-94 Senate will be held on March 3 and 4. Filing forms are available at several campus locations. February 19 is the filing deadline.

Report from the Committee on Research and Publication -- Mark Burkholder

(see report attached)

Report from the University Relations Committee -- Thomas McPhail

(see report attached)

Senator Ratcliff commented on advertisements for SIU-E which recently appeared on the front page of the News Analysis section of the Post-Dispatch and inquired if there are plans to place similar ads for UM-St. Louis. Senator McPhail indicated that we might be moving in that direction for the future.

The Chair then returned to the question of whether the campus should issue an endorsement of House Bill 34. Senator Spaner moved that the Chair write a letter endorsing representation for faculty on all four campuses, but Senator Jordan felt that more time should be taken to consider the relative merits of four faculty representatives, as opposed to one. The Chair said he believed the issue of the number of representatives could wait until the March meeting. He suggested that he could inform the appropriate parties that the Senate endorses the basic thrust of House Bill 34 without addressing the number issue. In the absence of dissent, the Chair's suggestion was taken to be the Senate's sentiment.

Senator Ganz asked about the implementation date for the revised general education requirements. Chancellor Touhill said they were intended for implementation in 1994, but she said she hopes that there will be some flexibility on the date.

Report from the Honorary Awards Committee -- Vice Chancellor Osborn

The Senate met in Executive Session to consider several candidates proposed to receive honorary degrees.

Completing the business at hand, the meeting adjourned at 4:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeanne Morgan Zarucchi/jma

Jeanne Morgan Zarucchi
Senate Secretary

(minutes written by
Ms. Joan M. Arban,
Senate Executive Assistant)

Chair's Report to the Senate - February 9, 1993

The Board of Curators met on January 28-29 in St. Louis, and the Senate Executive Committee had breakfast with the Curators and the President on the morning of the 29th. The three new curators were sworn in at this meeting, and from my brief observations and discussions with them, I believe that they will create a much more positive atmosphere and be more supportive of the faculty and nontraditional students than the outgoing members. None of the three new curators were shy, and I suspect that they will all be fast learners. The Curators meeting was relatively uneventful.

(a) President Russell began his remarks by stating that in the future we will use the AAU institutions as our peer group for comparisons. The AAU is an association of approximately 60 of the leading research universities in the country.

(b) President Russell made an effective presentation demonstrating that based upon family incomes and available financial aid, UM is now more affordable than it was 20 years ago. A medical student from Columbia made a brief statement that medical school fees were too high, and this discouraged students from going into lower paying medical areas. The Board had little sympathy for the argument after they were shown the average incomes of various medical specialties. After this presentation the Board approved a 12% increase in student fees. Curator Cozad did point out that President Russell's presentation showed that real family income increased 30% during the past 20 years, but real salaries for UM faculty have decreased slightly over the same period. He interpreted this by saying that the faculty have been subsidizing the students and the state.

(c) UM requested a 10.4% increase in state support for 1993-94; the Coordinating Board recommended a 9.4% increase, but the Governor has put only a 2.4% increase for UM in his budget, and he proposes to fund only 10% of the capital requests for the next two years, so the financial picture does not look great.

(d) Each campus identified those programs that will be enhanced during the next few years. On this campus the programs identified were chemistry, political science, psychology, biology, business, nursing, physiological optics, education, the honor's college, math/computer science, and the writing program. Additional resources will also be provided for scholarships and fellowships, computing, internationalization, and cultural diversity.

(e) Curator Cozad is the chair of the Academic Affairs Committee. He has invited all faculty and students to send him letters suggesting topics for consideration during this year. I encourage you all to do so. The Academic Affairs committee would have within its domain almost any topic dealing with faculty and students, including curriculum, advising, tenure and promotion, program priorities, and faculty review.

(f) The sexual harassment policy was returned to the President for further work. There were several areas of concern by the Curators. Curator Thompson wanted the statement to be stronger that sexual harassment is unacceptable and will be punished. Curator Cozad wanted the word "unwelcome" removed from "unwelcome sexual advances." His point is that any sexual advance, unwelcome

or not, is harmful to morale and undermines the integrity of the institution. He pointed out that sexual harassment is not simply an issue for the two parties directly involved, but also for many third parties, such as all other students in a class. Curators Hall and Gilmore were concerned about protection of the accused, especially when the accusations are proved to be false. It is interesting to note that these issues were brought up by IFC when it was presented to that body.

(g) An Executive Guideline on Faculty Review was approved. The guideline essentially says that faculty should be reviewed every year, and untenured faculty on tenure track should receive a written review every year. Chancellor Kiesler and President Russell pointed out that if these reviews are done well, and faculty are provided the appropriate support, dismissal for cause actions should be extremely rare.

(h) There was an extensive discussion on the reorganization of University Extension, but action was postponed until March.

(i) Vice-President McGill gave a brief report on health costs and managed care. If you have strong feelings regarding this issue I encourage you to contact our campus representatives on the employee benefits committee: Tom Eyesell, Michael Harris, and Bob Proffer.

(j) Vice-President Wallace made a brief report on the academic calendars. UM-St. Louis does have the longest year and it has, in fact, increased by 5 days since 1988-89. It is not clear exactly how days were counted, however.

IFC report

(Joseph Martinich)

IFC met on February 1, 1993 in Columbia

The purpose of the meeting was for IFC to decide what issues it wanted to initiate and bring to the Board and the President. After considerable discussion, IFC decided to form subcommittees to prepare three working papers.

1. Faculty Development and Infrastructure

- In one form or another the President and the Board have expressed their expectations of the faculty: to improve the quantity and quality of teaching by tenure-track faculty and to increase external funding for research significantly, while at the same time responding to Curator requests and being encouraged to take the initiative on university issues (i.e. more service). We have had the AAU schools put forward as our peers and standard for comparison. IFC feels that this is a two-way street. To obtain such performance from the faculty, the University and its administrators must provide AAU caliber support and infrastructure. This paper will identify those forms of support needed by faculty competing in the AAU arena, such as travel support, physical facilities including furniture, release time and faculty development funds. Another perspective is identifying the impediments to scholarship.

2. Faculty - Administration Relationship

- There is a need for better communication between the faculty and the campus and system administration as well as between the faculty and the Board. This paper is to lay out the mutual expectations of each party, methods of evaluation, ways to build trust, and priority setting.

3. Professionalism

- There is the belief that the professionalism of faculty has been eroding and is under attack. The notion of a community of scholars appears to be dead. There are many aspects of the university (e.g. curriculum matters) for which faculty are specially educated and skilled, yet the Board has been intervening in these matters far beyond simply encouraging action. More and more the faculty feel that their special expertise is ignored and are nothing more than hourly workers. This paper is to study what constitutes the profession of a faculty member and how professionalism can be regained.

An underlying issue during much of the discussion was what "model" of the university is being proposed by the President and the Board and what model or models should in fact be used. The Board model appears to be that a campus can have a few outstanding programs with a marginally acceptable collection of support programs, and be a great institution, and that the range of programs is irrelevant to greatness. The observation was made that we could think of no example of an outstanding university that has done this. (MIT and Cal Tech have very focused missions, but still support excellence across the institutions.) Great universities require that all programs be very good, with some rising above the rest. Given the starting point this latter form of a university cannot be achieved through reallocation, but must rely primarily on new funds to create an infrastructure base.

A bill was introduced in the Missouri House (HB #34) by Rep. Hosmer that would put a faculty member on the Board of Curators. There was a committee hearing on it last week, and it has been amended to include a faculty representative from each campus, and it will allow for reimbursement of travel expenses. We will follow this matter. If the Senate wishes to express an opinion on this matter I would welcome you comments and I will draft a letter to the appropriate parties in the legislature.

FACULTY COUNCIL REPORT
TO THE
UM-ST. LOUIS SENATE

February 9, 1993

The Council met on January 21, 1993 at its new meeting place, the Alumni House. The Council discussed the proposed changes to the Sexual Harassment Policy that was presented to the Board of Curators at their January meeting. The Presiding Officer was directed to convey to President Russell and Board President Lichtenegger its concern over the discrepancy in the grievance procedures referenced for processing a sexual harassment charge in the policy statement. This was done.

The Council distributed for review the proposed survey for this years Council evaluation of administrators. The Council approved the revised survey for use in this years administrator evaluations. Additionally, the Council approved a motion to follow an evaluation plan that alternates the evaluation of deans with the campus level administrators. This year the Council will evaluate deans; next year the Council will evaluate campus level administrators. The evaluation forms will be mailed to faculty in the week of February 16 to be returned by March 1, 1993.

The next Council meeting will be February 25, 1993, at the Alumni house.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven D. Spaner, Presiding Officer
1992-93 Faculty Council



UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ST. LOUIS

Student Government Association

Office of the President
and Vice President

262 University Center
8001 Natural Bridge Road
St. Louis, Missouri 63121-4499
Telephone: (314) 553-5105

Andy Masters for Mike Tomlinson

A student referendum for a new officer position which is Comptroller will be on the ballot with the candidates for Student Government - President, Vice President and Representatives at large in April.

This position was approved at SGA Assembly meet Weds. 2/3/93

We will decide the specific powers and responsibilities of the Comptroller position at the next meeting and propose amendments to define those powers.

Applications for Student Government 1993-1994 elections are available in 262 University Center. Deadline for applications is March 1, 5:00p.m

Elections will be held Thurs. 4/1 & Fri. 4/2/93

Recommended General Education Requirements
for the University of Missouri-St. Louis

- A. Communication
 - 1. Writing and Critical Analysis -- Every student must complete a freshman composition course and two other writing-intensive courses.
 - 2. Oral Argumentation/Speech -- Every student must complete one course in which oral argumentation or public speaking is a major focus.

- B. Mathematical, Symbolic, and Logical Reasoning -- Every student must demonstrate competence equivalent to that acquired in four years of college preparatory mathematics. In addition, every student must complete one course requiring extensive use of mathematical, symbolic, or logical reasoning (e.g., calculus, formal logic, statistics).

- C. Foreign Language and/or Culture -- Every student must complete three courses in foreign language or culture. At least one of the courses must focus primarily on a foreign culture (rather than the language).

- D. Computer and Information Technology -- Every student must demonstrate competence equivalent to a programming course or one software application course, which includes substantial hands-on computer experience.

- E. Distribution Requirement for General Education -- Every student must complete three courses from each of the following areas:
 - 1. Social and Behavioral Sciences
 - 2. Natural Sciences and Mathematics (at least two of these courses must be from the natural sciences, and one of the science courses must include a significant laboratory experience)
 - 3. Humanities and Fine Arts

- F. General Education/Major Field Synthesis -- Every student must complete a course that synthesizes and integrates general education coursework with the knowledge drawn from the student's academic major.

- G. State Requirement -- Every student must complete a course in American history or government taken at UM-St. Louis or at another college or university.

PROPOSED NEW UM-ST. LOUIS BYLAW

The proposed new bylaw is to be numbered 300.040 C.4.r. The current C.4.r. (Ad Hoc Committees) and C.4.s. (University-Wide and Statewide Committees) are to be renumbered C.4.s. and C.4.t., respectively.

300.040 C.4.r. Committee on Research Misconduct -- The Committee shall consist of six full professors on continuous appointment who are broadly representative of the Faculty and who do not devote more than 50 percent of their time to administrative duties. The Committee shall be elected by voting faculty senators.

The Committee shall elect from its members a chairperson, who must be a voting senator, and a secretary. A quorum of at least two-thirds of the members must be present in order for the Committee to conduct any business or hearing.

The Committee shall:

- (1) Perform the responsibilities prescribed by the Collected Rules and Regulations of the University of Missouri (420.020, "Procedures Governing the Disposition of Charges of Research Dishonesty by Academic Faculty and Staff").
- (2) In conducting a hearing requiring additional research expertise, elect by majority vote as many as three additional full professors on continuous appointment who do not devote more than 50 percent of their time to administrative duties, and who have the required research expertise. These additional members shall serve only for the duration of the case for which they have been elected and, during that time, shall be voting members of the Committee.

Rationale: The recommended committee meets all requirements of the Collected Rules and Regulations of the University of Missouri (420.020) for a mandated standing committee on research misconduct on each campus. It also meets the standard of "Framework for Institutional Policies and Procedures to Deal with Fraud in Research," approved by AAU, NASULGC, and CGS in 1988, that this committee "have appropriate scientific expertise to assure a sound knowledge base from which to work" (p.7).

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO UM-ST. LOUIS BYLAWS

Current version:

300.040 C.4.
(second paragraph,
under "Committees
of the Senate")

Members of all standing Senate committees except the Executive Committee; Committee on Appointments, Tenure, and Promotion; Committee on Research and Publication; Grievances Committee; and Committee on Athletics shall be elected by all voting members of the Senate. Members of the committees on Appointments, Tenure, and Promotion; Grievances; and Research and Publication shall be elected by faculty senators. Ex officio and student senators shall not vote for members of these committees.

Proposed revision:

300.040 C.4.
(second paragraph,
under "Committees
of the Senate")

Members of all standing Senate committees except the Executive Committee; Committee on Appointments, Tenure, and Promotion; Committee on Research and Publication; Committee on Research Misconduct; Grievances Committee; and Committee on Athletics shall be elected by all voting members of the Senate. Members of the committees on Appointments, Tenure, and Promotion; Grievances; Research and Publication; and Research Misconduct shall be elected by faculty senators. Ex officio and student senators shall not vote for members of these committees.

Rationale:

A companion to the proposal to create a new standing committee on research misconduct, this amendment is necessary in order to keep the bylaws consistent regarding the voting eligibility of student and ex officio senators.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO UM-ST. LOUIS SENATE BYLAWS

Current version:

300.040 C.4.f. Committee on Admissions and Student Aid -- The Committee shall consist of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (non-voting), the Director of Admissions (non-voting), four faculty members and one student member elected by the Senate, and two faculty members and one student member appointed by the Chancellor. The Committee shall be chaired by a voting faculty senator.

The Committee shall:

- (1) Recommend policies and procedures to the Senate relating to admissions and student aid;
- (2) Review on a periodic basis admission and student aid activities and report to the Senate.

Proposed revision:

300.040 C.4.f. Committee on Recruitment, Admissions, Retention, and Student Financial Aid -- The Committee shall consist...by a voting faculty senator.

The Committee shall:

- (1) Recommend policies and procedures to the Senate and to the Director of Admissions regarding recruitment, admissions, retention, and student financial aid; and
- (2) Monitor recruitment, admissions, retention, and student financial aid activities and report periodically to the Senate.

Rationale:

Recommending policies and procedures regarding recruitment and retention and monitoring campus activities in these areas appears to be a natural extension of the current charge to this committee. The proposal renames the Committee to reflect its new scope of responsibilities.

PROPOSED REVISION TO SENATE OPERATING RULE

Current version:

The Engineering Division shall be deemed a unit parallel to the professional schools, and the Director of the Engineering Division shall be deemed equivalent to a dean.

Proposed revision:

With respect to representation on the Senate, the Committee on Committees, and the Committee on Curriculum and Instruction only, the undergraduate program in Engineering shall be deemed a unit parallel to the professional schools.

Rationale:

Until more is known about the agreement with Washington University and about the status of faculty in the program, the Senate should not require representatives from Engineering to serve on certain committees. It would appear advisable, however, for this unit to be represented on the Committee on Committees (as Engineering faculty would be eligible to be elected to committees without specific distribution requirements) and on the Committee on Curriculum and Instruction (since Engineering's curriculum will be subject to the Committee's review).

Also, the new cooperative program will be headed by a dean, rather than a director.

REPORT OF THE AD HOC RESEARCH COMMITTEE TO THE SENATE
February 9, 1993

The Summer Fellowship and Research Awards subcommittee met on January 27 and recommended funding at \$4,000 each 13 out of 34 applications. The subcommittee also ranked three alternates should one or more of the recipients be unable to use the award. The recipients by disciplinary area were: 4 in math/sciences; 4 in social sciences; and 5 in humanities. By rank, the recipients were ten assistant professors and 3 senior faculty members.

The subcommittee also selected Professor Richard Mitchell (History) to be the campus nominee for the President's Award for Research and Creativity.

The Research Incentive Grant subcommittee met on February 5 and recommended funding 29 out of 39 applications. Awards totaled \$150,560 with a minimum of \$1,800, a mean of \$5,000, and a maximum of \$10,410. The subcommittee recommended funding fifteen proposals at the requested amount and fourteen with reduced budgets. By discipline the recipients were: 18 in math/sciences for \$92,331; 9 in social sciences for \$47,732; 1 in nursing for \$5,497; and 1 in business for \$5,000. Assistant professors were recommended for \$71,878 and senior faculty members for \$78,662.

The Research Incentive Subcommittee welcomes applications from all disciplines, despite a wide spread belief that humanists need not apply.

On Friday, the two subcommittees will meet jointly to discuss guidelines for next year's competitions and to discuss with Vice Chancellor Wright whether or not the Research Committee's charge should also include overseeing the competition for research and development leaves.

Mark A. Burkholder
Chair



UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ST. LOUIS

College of Arts and Sciences

Department of Communication

8001 Natural Bridge Road
St. Louis, Missouri 63121-4499
Telephone: (314) 553-5485

Report of Senate Committee on University Relations

At its January meeting, the Committee heard from Vice-Chancellor of Student Affairs, Sandy MacLean. He discussed in general terms the variety of images which UM-St. Louis has, and how this makes it difficult. He also referred to the continuing problem of many St. Louisans not realizing that this university is part of the University of Missouri system.

He talked in general terms, also, about the impact of tuition increases and how this is making the community colleges more attractive. This is particularly true for undecided students who are, basically, shopping around before deciding on a major or career. Another aspect of this was the growth of the St. Charles Community College. The St. Charles region initially provided a good pool of potential students for UM-St. Louis. Now the St. Charles Community College continues to grow rapidly, with a disproportionate number of their students being those who would have traditionally attended here, likely as full-time freshman. He also discussed the issue of customer problems (student-service) to stop the approximately 15% slippage of those who have left UM-St. Louis because they experienced some type of administrative/service difficulty.

He concluded by talking about the touch-tone telephone information bank with up to 700 calls a day being processed; and this is a step in the right direction.

The committee also reviewed some recent press clippings dealing with UM-St. Louis distributed by Bob Samples. Kathy Osborn, Vice-Chancellor of University Relations, also provided an update in terms of some of the donation activities, as well as the hiring of a director for Major Gifts. It was decided to invite Evette Sweeney, the Community College Advisor, to the next meeting in order to obtain additional background concerning recruitment and image issues effecting university enrollment.

Submitted by Thomas L. McPhail

